UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,533	03/11/2004	Yang Gao	0160112	8500
53375 FARJAMI & F.	7590 05/06/200 <b>ARJAMI</b> LLP	8	EXAMINER	
26522 LA ALA			JACKSON, JAKIEDA R	
SUITE 360 MISSION VIEJ	IO, CA 92691		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/799,533	GAO, YANG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAKIEDA R. JACKSON	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Fe	bruary 2008					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
·=						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>						
ologod in accordance with the practice and in	x parte gaayle, 1000 G.B. 11, 10	0 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11,13,15,17-27,29,31,33-36,39-43,46,48,49 and 51-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-11,13,15,17-27,29,31,33-36,39-43,46,48,49 and 51-56 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Art Unit: 2626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

1. In response to the Office Action mailed October 18, 2007, applicant submitted an amendment filed on February 12, 2008, in which the applicant amended and requested reconsideration.

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant argues that that Bergstom, Kaajas and Zinser fail to disclose, teach or suggest decomposing a wideband speech signal into a voiced portion and a noisy portion using an adaptive separation component having a filter cut-off frequency, wherein said voiced portion is a portion of said input wideband speech signal for waveform matching and said noisy portion of said input wideband speech signal not for waveform matching, and wherein said filter cut-off frequency is above 4kHz further comprising removing said background noise from said input wideband speech signal before decomposing said input wideband speech signal into said voiced portion and said noisy portion. Applicant arguments are moot in view of new grounds of rejections.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2626

4. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-11, 13, 15, 17, 19-21, 23-27, 29, 31, 33-36, 39-43, 46, 48, 51, 53 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergstrom et al. (USPN 5,809,459), hereinafter referenced as Bergstrom in view of Andersen et al. (PGPUB 20060153286), hereinafter referenced as Andersen and in further view of Zinser, Jr. et al. (USPN 6,138,092), hereinafter referenced as Zinser.

Regarding **claims 1 and 17**, Bergstom discloses a method and apparatus of processing speech comprising:

obtaining an input wideband (expand the bandwidth, paragraph 0019) speech signal (input speech; column 3, line 63 – column 4, line 24);

processing said voiced portion of said input wideband speech signal to obtain a first set of parameters using analysis by synthesis approach (analysis and synthesis processor; column 3, line 63 – column 4, line 24); and

processing said noise portion of said input wideband speech signal to obtain a second set of parameters using open loop approach (open loop; column 12, lines 5-22), and wherein said filter cut-off frequency is above 4kHz (expanded bandwidth frequencies is over 4kHz; paragraph 0019), but does not specifically teach decomposing said input wideband speech signal and transmitting said first set of parameters.

Andersen discloses a method and apparatus wherein said voiced portion is a portion of said input wideband speech signal for waveform matching and said noisy portion of said input wideband speech signal not for waveform matching (analysis-by-

synthesis; paragraph 0043), and wherein said filter cut-off frequency is above 4kHz (8kHz; paragraph 0045), to improve performance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bergstom in view of Andersen's method and apparatus as described above, to improve performance at a decoding end in connection with predictive encoding and decoding (paragraphs 0010-0011).

Bergstom in view of Andersen discloses a method of processing speech, but does not specifically teach transmitting said first set of parameters, said second set of parameters and a voicing index to a decoder, wherein said voicing index provides filter cut-off frequency for signal decomposition.

Zinser teaches a method wherein it transmit said first set of parameters, said second set of parameters and a voicing index to a decoder, wherein said voicing index provides filter cut-off frequency for signal decomposition (cut off frequency; column 12, line 24 – column 13, line 2), to ensure effective performance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bergstrom in view of Andersen' method and apparatus wherein it further comprises transmitting a voicing index to a decoder, wherein said voicing index provides filter cut-off frequency for signal decomposition, as taught by Zinser, to rapidly, efficiently and accurately characterize speech signals in a fashion lending itself to compact digital representation and for providing high quality speech signals from the compact digital representations (column 3, lines 4-9).

Regarding **claims 3 and 19**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said separation component is a lowpass filter (lowpass filter; column 6, lines 29-66).

Regarding **claims 4 and 20**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus, wherein bandwidth of said lowpass filter is dependent upon a characteristic of said input speech (lowpass filter; column 6, lines 29-66).

Regarding **claims 5 and 21**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said characteristic of said input speech is pitch correlation (pitch; column 6, lines 29-66).

Regarding **claims 7 and 23**, Bergstrom in view of Andersen disclose everything as claimed in claims 1 and 17. In addition Andersen discloses a method and apparatus wherein said analysis by synthesis approach is a Code Excited Linear Prediction (CELP) process (columns 1—2, paragraphs 0019-0022)..

Regarding **claims 8, 24, 34 and 41**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said first set of parameters comprises pitch of said voiced portion of said input speech (pitch; column 6, lines 29-66).

Regarding **claims 9, 25, 35 and 42**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said first set of parameters comprises excitation of said voiced portion of said input speech (excitation; column 6, lines 29-66 and column 8, lines 19-26).

Regarding **claims 10, 26, 36 and 43**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said first set of parameters comprises energy of said voiced portion of said input speech (energy; column 8, lines 19-26).

Regarding **claims 11 and 27**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said second set of parameters comprises characteristics of a voicing index of said input speech (index; column 6, lines 29-66 and column 7, lines 22-53).

Regarding **claims 13 and 29**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said decoder device uses said information regarding said first set of parameters to synthesize said voiced portion of said input speech (synthesized speech; column 4, lines 6-13).

Regarding **claims 15 and 31**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said decoder device uses said information regarding said second set of parameters to synthesize said noise portion of said input speech (synthesized speech; column 4, lines 6-13).

Regarding **claims 33 and 40**, it is interpreted and rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1 and 17. In addition, Bergstrom discloses an apparatus and method for synthesizing speech comprising:

a first module for obtaining a first set of parameters regarding a voiced portion of an input speech signal (input speech; column 3, line 63 – column 4, line 24);

a second module for obtaining a second set of parameters regarding a noise portion of said input speech signal (noise; column 20, lines 1-33);

Page 7

a third module for synthesizing said voiced portion of said input speech signal from said first set of parameters approach (analysis and synthesis processor; column 3, line 63 – column 4, line 24);

a fourth module for synthesizing said noise portion of said input speech signal from said second set of parameters (noise; column 20, lines 1-33; and

a fifth module for combining said synthesized voiced portion and said synthesized noise portion to produce a synthesized version of said input speech (synthesize; column 4, lines 6-24), but does not specifically teach decomposing said input speech into a voiced portion and a noise portion using an adaptive separation component.

Andersen teaches audio signal processing using CELP comprising decomposing said input speech into a voiced portion and a noise portion using an adaptive separation component (separate voiced/unvoiced; columns 1-2, paragraphs 0019-0022), to increase the coding gain.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bergstom's method and apparatus wherein it decomposes said input speech into a voiced portion and a noise portion using an adaptive separation component, as taught by Andersen, to increase the coding gain which enhances spatial processing (column 1, paragraphs 0012-0013).

Regarding **claims 39 and 46**, it is interpreted and rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1 and 17. In addition, Zinser teaches a speech processing method

and apparatus wherein said synthesized noise portion is estimated (estimated; column 2, lines 22-34 and column 3, lines 16-32).

Page 8

Regarding **claims 48, 51, 53 and 55**, it is interpreted and rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1 and 17. In addition, Zinser teaches a speech processing method and apparatus wherein said filter cut-off frequency (cut off frequency) is communicated to said decoder using a plurality of bits in said voicing index (voiced) to indication to said decoder which filter to use for said signal decomposition (abstract, column 2, lines 22-34 and column 3, lines 16-32 with column 12, line 24 – column 13, line 2).

5. Claims 2 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergstrom in view of Andersen and Zinser and in further view of Accardi et al. (PGPUB 20054/0055219), hereinafter referenced as Accardi.

Regarding **claims 2 and 18**, Bergstrom in view of Andersen and Zinser disclose a method and apparatus for signal and decomposition of voiced speech, but does not specifically teach comprising removing said background noise from said input wideband speech signal before decomposing said input wideband speech signal into said voiced portion and said noisy portion.

Accardi discloses a method and apparatus comprising removing said background noise from said input wideband speech signal before decomposing said input wideband speech signal determining excitation after determining the speech and noise part) into

said voiced portion and said noisy portion (figure 3 with paragraphs 0014 and 0025-38), for speech enhancement.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bergstrom in view of Andersen and Zinser, as described above, to generate a robust and flexible speech enhancement and coding process that exhibits better performance (paragraph 0042).

6. Claims 6 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergstrom in view of Andersen and in further view of Gigi (USPN 6,453,283).

Regarding **claims 6 and 22**, Bergstrom in view of Andersen discloses a method and apparatus for processing speech, but does not specifically teach wherein said characteristic of said input speech is gender of a person uttering said input speech.

Gigi teaches a speech processing method and apparatus wherein said characteristic of said input speech is gender of a person uttering said input speech (speech preferably is sex-specific; column 12, lines 23-45), to improve the overall quality.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bergstom in view of Andersen' method and apparatus, as taught by Gigi, to enable the production of more natural speech (column 1, lines 65-67).

Art Unit: 2626

7. Claims 49, 52, 54 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergstrom in view of Andersen and Kingsbury, and in further view of Li et al. (PGPUB 2007/0110042), hereinafter referenced as Li.

Regarding claims **49**, **52**, **54** and **56**, Bergstrom in view of Andersen and Kingsbury disclose a method and apparatus for processing speech, but does not specifically teach wherein said voicing index defines a plurality of low pass filters.

Li discloses a speech processing method and apparatus wherein said voicing index defines a plurality of low pass filters (column 22, paragraphs 0250-0251 with column 27, paragraphs 0280-0281), to optimize the performance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bergstrom in view of Andersen and Kingsbury's method and apparatus wherein said voicing index defines a plurality of low pass filters, as taught by Li, to multiply the down-sampled signal by cosine and sine, which optimizes the performance (column 22, paragraphs 0250-0251).

## Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2626

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAKIEDA R. JACKSON whose telephone number is (571)272-7619. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 5:30am-2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JRJ May 2, 2008

/David R Hudspeth/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626